

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,865	12/02/2003	Yoshihiro Matsueda	032147	1608
38834	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			PRASAD, CHANDRIKA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/724,865	MATSUEDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chandrika Prasad	2839			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ 3) □ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non-final. owance except for formal matte	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to b the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been r ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -·			

Application/Control Number: 10/724,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-2 and 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones (4640725).

Jones (Figures 1-8) shows an optical fiber anchor device having a hot-melt type bonding agent 48 in the form of a tube with a hollow portion inserted in a hollow portion of a heat shrinkable tube 50, an optical fiber 26 and support rods 42, 44 inserted in the hollow portion of the hot-melt type bonding agent wherein an anchor portion is formed by heating to melt the bonding agent and shrink the heat-shrinkable tube and become integrated with the optical fiber. The bonding agent is applied on outer surface of the support rods. The support rods are held by a sleeve of a terminal connecting device 14. Concavities and convexities are formed on outer circumferential surface of the rods.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/724,865 Page 3

Art Unit: 2839

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones (4640725).

Jones shows all the features of these claims except the length of the device being 30-100 mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to make the length of the device 30-100 mm because this would require a mere selection of a size which involve only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ladany(4237474), Landis et al. (4479698), Kurosawa et al. (6647194).

Contact Information

7. Any correspondence to this action may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chandrika Prasad at (571) 272-2099. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor can be reached at (571) 272-2800 ext 39. The fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Chandrika Prasad Primary examiner December 03, 2004